EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2008-0207-WQ-E **TCEQ ID:** RN105202238 **CASE NO.:** 35322

RESPONDENT NAME: Homes Unique, Inc.

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
XWATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Residential home SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes		
facility location.	re are no complaints. There is no record of additional	at pending emorcement actions regarding this
INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the	an the ED and the Respondent has expressed an inte	rest in this matter.
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg	ister comment period expired on August 4, 2008. N	To comments were received.
Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Divis Respondent: Mr. Louis Caraveo, I	: Mr. Samuel Short, Enforcement Division, Enforce	

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$1,425 **Corrective Actions Taken:** __ Complaint X Routine Total Deferred: \$285 The Executive Director recognizes that the ___ Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement Respondent installed a silt fence and Records Review posted the Notice of Intent at the __Financial Inability to Pay construction site on or about January 18, Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,140 Case: January 14, 2008 Site Compliance History Classification Date of NOE Relating to this Case: High X Average Poor January 18, 2008 (NOE) Person Compliance History Classification Background Facts: This was a routine ___ High X Average ___ Poor investigation. Major Source: Yes X No WATER Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 1) Failure to implement Best Management Practices. Specifically, on January 14, 2008, it was documented that the Respondent did not have a silt fence installed onsite prior to the start of construction at the 5209 Mathis Street construction site [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and Multi-Sector General Permit No. TXR15F104 Part III, Section F.2.]. 2) Failure to post a copy of the Notice of Intent in a location where it is readily available for viewing prior to commencing construction activities [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and Multi-Sector General Permit No. TXR15F104 Part II, Section D.3.].

Additional ID No(s).: 0

Policy Revision 2 (Septe		alty (Calculatio	n Works	sheet (P	•	n January 29, 2008
IC:0							
DATES Assigned PCW	28-Jan-2008 29-Jan-2008 S	creenin	g 29-Jan-2008	EPA Due			25:25:16:55:34 28:51
RESPONDENT/FACILITY			725				
Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	⊣omes Unique, In RN105202238	c.					
Facility/Site Region	7-Midland			Major/	Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION	25000			7	- 6 \ 7 : - 1 - 4 :	0	
Enf./Case ID No. Docket No.	35322 2008-0207-WQ-E			No	of Violations . Order Type		<u>:</u>
Media Program(s)				En En	f. Coordinator	Libby Hogue	
Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000	EC'S Team	Enforcement Team 3	
		Don	alty Calcul	ation Soc	tion		
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TV (Sum of vi			and and an experience of the second	LIOH	0::14::4:74	\$1,500
			base penalu	es)		Subtotal 1	\$1,500
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) Subtotals 2-7 are obtain			Penalty (Subtotal 1) b	ov the indicated pe	rcentage		
Compliance Histo	CONTRACTOR AND	otal Daco	************************************	6 Enhancement		otals 2, 3, & 7	\$75
Notes	A 5% enhanceme				/ for a same or	r	
	sir	niiar vioi	ation within the p	ast five years.]	
Gulpability	No		0%	6 Enhancement		Subtotal 4	\$0
Notes	The Res	pondent	does not meet th	ne culpability c	riteria.		
L						<u></u>	
Good Faith Effort		OV to EDI	10% PRP/Settlement Offer			Subtotal 5	\$150
Extraordinary	Dejoic NOV						
Ordinary N/A	(m	x ark with x					
Notes		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hieved compliand	e on January	18 2008		
110100						_	
	Total EB Amounta	ድብ		6 Enhancement*	\$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
Approx. (Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$2 \$3,300	Сарре	ed at the Total EB	\$ Amount		
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	§ 1-7					Final Subtotal	\$1,425
				ex Control of the Con	1		
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final Si]	Adjustment	
Notes							
.	Province of the second		the state of the s		Final Pe	enalty Amount	\$1,425
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT			The second of th	Final Ass	essed Penalty	\$1,425
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Pen	alty by the indicted per	centage. (Enter number only: e	20% e.g. 20 for 20% red	 Lovač te vršepi a piž no, na potuci dobna te 	Adjustment	-\$285
Notes	D _i	eterral o	ffered for expedit	ed settlement.			
		5.78 D 3765307 O					
PAYABLE PENALTY							\$1,140

Screening Date 29-Jan-2008

Docket No. 2008-0207-WQ-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 29, 2008

Respondent Homes Unique, Inc.

Case ID No. 35322

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105202238 Media [Statute] Water Quality

Enf. Coordinator Libby Hogue

Compliance History Worksheet

Component	Number of	nter Number Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1. 1.	5%
	Other written NOVs	0'-	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	.0.	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	. 0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)		0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	: 0	0%

	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	se Enter Yes or No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program		0%
Oute	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	,,, No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance History Notes

A 5% enhancement is recommended for having one NOV for a same or similar violation within the past five years.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

3			= 0.000.000.000.0000.0000.0000.0000.000		
Violation Description	2008, it was document	ed that the Respond	ondent did not h	s"). Specifically, on January lave a silt fence installed or s Street construction site.	y 14, nsite
				Base Per	nalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health	Matriv			
	Harm	l			
Releas OR Actua	T	te Minor	7		
Potentia		х] P	ercent 5%	
>>Programmatic Matrix					The state of the s
Falsification	n Major Modera	ite Minor	a	00/	
				ercent 0%	
				nificant amounts of pollutan	
Notes Which wou	id not exceed levels that	are protective of result of the vi		r environmental receptors a	IS a
<u> </u>				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			Au	justment \$9	0,500
					\$500
Violation Events					
. Number of V	iolation Events 1		5 N	lumber of violation days	
					
	daily monthly				
mark only one with an x	quarterly			Violation Base Per	nalty \$500
, man sin x	semiannual annual				
	single event x				
	On	e single event is r	recommended.		
<u> </u>					
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation			Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount	\$	2	Violation Final Penalty	Total \$475
		This violation	n Final Assesse	ed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$475
				- 1	

Violation No	a Water Quality						Years of
T.O.G.COTT IX	5.1					Percent Interest	Depreciation
						1 5.0	18
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Descriptio	On No commas or \$	3 10 31	y.,				
Delayed Cost	ıs			•			
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	(30000)		5.6400 3.30	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0 .	\$0 m sea	\$0,
Engineering/construction	P. S. SEL CONNECT HERE	, 30 A	1 (1 (4) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		4		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	The state of the state of	The state of the s	The second of th	ll 0,0 l			\$0
		+			\$0 '	n/a	
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
		13-Jan-2008 ated cost to install a		0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da	n/a n/a n/a ale is the date the R	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locat nt achiev	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground. Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis ed compliance.	n/a n/a n/a ale is the date the R Street, and the end	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locat nt achiev	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis ed compliance:	n/a n/a n/a ale is the date the R Street, and the end	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locatint achievements	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis red compliance.	n/a n/a n/a ale is the date the R Street, and the end	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Gost Disposal Personnel	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locating achievement achieve	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis ed compliance tem (except for o	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a ate is the date the R Street, and the end one-time avoided of \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locatint achiev ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis ed compliance: tem (except for d \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a street, and the encone-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 oreaking site locatint achieving 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground. Begin died at 5209 Mathis red compliance. Item (except for o \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a street, and the ence some-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COST Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 breaking site locat nt achiev ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground: Begin da ed at 5209 Mathis ed dompliance. I item (except for o \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a ste is the date the R Street, and the end some-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COST Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The estimation construction c	ated cost to install a rew broke ground at	silt fence prior to the construction s the Responde	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 oreaking site locatint achieving 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$2 ground. Begin died at 5209 Mathis red compliance. Item (except for o \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a street, and the ence some-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$2 espondent's I date is the day osts) \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date	29-Jan-2008	Docket No. 2008-0207-WQ-E	PCW
Respondent	Homes Unique,	Inc. Policy P	Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCV	V Revision January 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator	Libby Hogue		
Violation Number	2	TYPATEANA	a
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admir	n. Code § 281.25(a)(4) and Multi-Sector General Permit No. TXR15F104 Part II, Section D.3.	**************************************
Violation Description	Failed to post	a copy of the Notice of Intent in a location where it is readily available for viewing prior to commencing construction activities.	
		Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human H	ealth Matrix Harm	annimenteron
Release	Major	Moderate Minor	
OR Actual Potential		Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix			***************************************
Falsification	Major	Moderate Minor	
	x	Percent 10%	
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule requirement was not met.	
		Adjustment \$9,00	<u> </u>
			\$1,000
Violation Events			
Number of Vic	olation Events	1 5 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Base Penalt	y\$1,000
		One single event is recommended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$0 Violation Final Penalty Total	al \$950
	_	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limit	\$950
		i nis violation riliai Assessed Penaity (aujusted for ilinit	9)

Violation No						Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	. 4					5.0	
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Descriptio	n No commas or \$						
Delayed Cost	S						
Equipment				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings			34.000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0	1 \$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		A.Z.C.	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land			100 A 2 A 100 A	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	1,415,755,751,095,200		MARKET TO THE P. LEWIS CO., LANS.	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			K. 7. Sagardal (2003)	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	Fally: U.S. 8000 (10.0)			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$300	13-Jan-2008	18-Jan-2008	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	The estimated	d cost to post the No			CVX 9000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.70	C. C. R. L. L. L. L. L. D. L. C.
Notes for DELAYED costs	The estimate ground at	d cost to post the No the construction site	tice of Intent. Beg	gin date	is the date the Re treet, and the end	spondent's construc	tion crew broke
Notes for DELAYED costs	ground at	d cost to post the No the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com	is the date the Re- treet, and the end pliance.	spondent's construc date is the day the I	tion crew broke Respondent
	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com	is the date the Ret treet, and the end pliance. item (except for a	spondent's construc date is the day the I	tion crew broke Respondent
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0.0 0.0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's construct date is the day:the f	tion crew broke Respondent costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0,0 0.0 0.0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's constructed the late is the day the formation of the construction of the co	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for 6 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's construction date is the day the following the	stion crew broke Respondent costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for a solution of solution) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's constructed the last the day the last the las	tion crew broke Respondent sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's constructed to the day the following spondent's construction of the day the following spondent spond	stion crew broke Respondent sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ground at	the construction site	tice of Intent. Be located at 5209 Machiev	gin date Mathis S ved com ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	is the date the Retreet, and the end pliance. item (except for a solution of solution) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	spondent's constructed the last the day the last the las	stion crew brok Respondent sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603022625

Homes Unique, Inc.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 2,34

Regulated Entity:

RN105202238

HOMES UNIQUE GREATHOUSE **ADDITION SECTION 5**

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 1.00

ID Number(s):

STORMWATER

TEXAS

PERMIT

TXR15FI04

Location:

5209 MATHIS STREET, MIDLAND, MIDLAND COUNTY,

Rating Date: September 01 07 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region:

REGION 07 - MIDLAND

Date Compliance History Prepared:

March 10, 2008

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

January 28, 2003 to January 28, 2008

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Libby Hogue

512-239-1165

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

No

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

No

3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

N/A

4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

N/A

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В.

Chronic excessive emissions events. C.

N/A

- The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.
 - 1 07/17/2007

(567847)(574013)

2 08/29/2007 3 01/18/2008

(615084)

Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E.

Date: 07/18/2007

(567847)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 281, SubChapter A 281.25(a)(4)

Description:

Failure to implement the SWP3 prior to commencing construction.

Environmental audits. F.

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance. . J.

N/A

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0207-WQ-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Homes Unique, Inc. ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns a construction company located at 6112 North State Highway 349, Suite A-2 in Midland, Midland County, Texas. The Respondent is operating a residential home construction site at 5209 Mathis Street in the Homes Unique Greathouse Addition Section 5 subdivision in Midland, Midland County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Respondent has committed any other act or engaged in any other activity which in itself or in conjunction with any other discharge or activity causes, continues to cause, or will cause pollution of any water in the state under Tex. Water Code ch. 26.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 23, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Four Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$1,425) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Thousand One Hundred Forty Dollars (\$1,140) of the administrative penalty and Two Hundred Eighty-Five Dollars (\$285) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent installed a silt fence and posted the Notice of Intent at the construction site on or about January 18, 2008.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As the construction contractor at the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to implement Best Management Practices, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and Multi-Sector General Permit No. TXR15F104 Part III, Section F.2., as documented during an investigation conducted on January 14, 2008. Specifically, on January 14, 2008, it was documented that the Respondent did not have a silt fence installed onsite prior to start of construction at the Site.
- 2. Failed to post a copy of the Notice of Intent in a location where it is readily available for viewing prior to commencing construction activities, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 281.25(a)(4) and Multi-Sector General Permit No. TXR15F104 Part II, Section D.3., as documented during an investigationn conducted on January 14, 2008.

A service of the servic

general description of the second Control of the second

Control of the state of the state of

The second se The second seco

Andrew Communication of the second of the se

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Homes Unique, Inc., Docket No. 2008-0207-WQ-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

in a comparable with a comparable and comparable an

gradient in the contract of the state of the contract of the c

Homes Unique, Inc. DOCKET NO. 2008-0207-WQ-E Page 4

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Oslu Salain	7/21/2008
For the Executive Director	Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature Signature

Date

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

Homes Unique, Inc.

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Commence of the second

ASVAMINE ASSESS

y some and the